



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/220,436 | 12/24/1998 | AARON ABBOTT | P-5350 | 3501 |

24510 7590 12/20/2001

PIPER MARBURY RUDNICK & WOLFE LLP
STEVEN B KELBER
1200 NINETEENTH STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2412

EXAMINER

WILLETT, STEPHAN F

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2152

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

146

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/220,436

Applicant(s)
Abbott et al.

Examiner
Stephan Willett

Group Art Unit
2152



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephan Willett

(3) _____

(2) Ken Vu

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 17, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 10

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The substance of claim 10 was described and teachings of the CORBA system was shown in the reference, thus even though the numeral 10 was inadvertently omitted the representative understands that the language of claim 10 is addressed in the office action at page 5, para. 10.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.